

United States Patent Application

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor I hereby declare that: my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; that

I verily believe I am the original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: <u>METHOD FOR MANUFACTURING BETULINIC ACID</u>.

The specification of which is attached hereto.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of this application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 (attached hereto). I also acknowledge my duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability which became available between a filing date of a prior application and the national or PCT international filing date in the event this is a Continuation-In-Part application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.63(4).

for patent or inventor's certificate, or 365(a) of any PCT international application which designated at least one country other than the United States of America, listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on the basis of which priority is claimed.

No such claim for priority is being made at this time.

hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed

No such claim for priority is being made at this time.

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 120 or 365(c) of any United States and PCT international application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT international application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(a) which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Application Number 09/480,406

Filing Date
January 11, 2000

Status Allowed




Attorney Docket No.: 600.460US3

Serial No. not assigned Filing Date: not assigned

I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or patent agent(s) to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith:

all business in the	Patent and Tradem	ark Office connected her	ewith:		
Anglin, J. Michael	Reg. No. 24,916	Haack, John L.	Reg. No. 36,154	Nielsen, Walter W.	Reg. No. 25,539
Arora, Suneel	Reg. No. 42,267	Harris, Robert J.	Reg. No. 37,346	Padys, Danny J.	Reg. No. 35,635
Beekman, Marvin L.	Reg. No. 38,377	Hill, Stanley K.	Reg. No. 37,548	Parker, J. Kevin	Reg. No. 33,024
Bianchi, Timothy E.	Reg. No. 39,610	Jackson Huebsch, Katharine A.		Perdok, Monique M.	Reg. No. 42,989
Billion, Richard E.	Reg. No. 32,836	Jurkovich, Patti J.	Reg. No. 44,813	Peterson, David C.	Reg. No. 47,857
Black, David W.	Reg. No. 42,331	Kalis, Janal M.	Reg. No. 37,650	Prout, William F.	Reg. No. 33,995
Brennan, Leoniede M.	Reg. No. 35,832	Klima-Silberg, Catherine I.	Reg. No. 40,052	Schumm, Sherry W.	Reg. No. 39,422
Brennan, Thomas F.	Reg. No. 35,075	Kluth, Daniel J.	Reg. No. 32,146	Schwegman, Micheal L.	Reg. No. 25,816
Brooks, Edward J., III	Reg. No. 40,925	Lacy, Rodney L.	Reg. No. 41,136	Scott, John C.	Reg. No. 38,613
Chadwick, Robin A.	Reg. No. 36,477	Lemaire, Charles A.	Reg. No. 36,198	Smith, Michael G.	Reg. No. 45,368
Clark, Barbara J.	Reg. No. 38,107	LeMoine, Dana B.	Reg. No. 40,062	Speier, Gary J.	Reg. No. 45,458
Clise, Timothy B.	Reg. No. 40,957	Lundberg, Steven W.	Reg. No. 30,568	Steffey, Charles E.	Reg. No. 25,179
Dahl, John M.	Reg. No. 44,639	Maki, Peter C.	Reg. No. 42,832	Stordal, Leif T.	Reg. No. 46,251
Drake, Eduardo E.	Reg. No. 40,594	Malen, Peter L.	Reg. No. 44,894	Terry, Kathleen R.	Reg. No. 31,884
Embretson, Janet E.	Reg. No. 39,665	Mates, Robert E.	Reg. No. 35,271	Tong, Viet V.	Reg. No. 45,416
Fordenbacher, Paul J.	Reg. No. 42,546	McCrackin, Ann M.	Reg. No. 42,858	Viksnins, Ann S.	Reg. No. 37,748
Forrest, Highdley A.	Reg. No. 30,837	Moore, Charles L., Jr.	Reg. No. 33,742	Vogel, Peter J.	Reg. No. 41,363
Gamon, Qiven J.	Reg. No. 36,143	Nama, Kash	Reg. No. 44,255	Woessner, Warren D.	Reg. No. 30,440
Gortych, pseph E.	Reg. No. 41,791	Nelson, Albin J.	Reg. No. 28,650	•	,
Please direct all corres Please direct all corres Thereby declar belief are believed to both made are punishable by	pondence in this case are that all statements to true; and further that y fine or imprisonmen	t this case to them and by who struct Schwegman, Lundberg, to Schwegman, Lundberg, V. P.O. Box 2938, Minnea Telephone No. (61 made herein of my own knowlet these statements were made to, or both, under Section 1001 application or any patent issues	Woessner & Kluth Voessner & Kluth polis, MN 55402 2)373-6900 edge are true and with the knowledg of Title 18 of the	that all statements made one that willful false statement	information and
Full Name of joint invectitizenship: Post Office Address:	Ukraine	Pavel A. Krasutsky Vildlife Drive IN 55803	Residence: Dulut	th, MN	
Signature:			Date:		
Signature.	Pavel A. Krasuts	ςν	Date.		
Full Name of joint invo Citizenship: Post Office Address:	entor number 2: <u>I</u> United St	Robert M. Carlson ates of America Third Street	Residence: Dulut	th, MN	
Signature:	Debert M. Corles		Date:		
	Ilakant M. Clantan				

Robert M. Carlson







§ 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
 - the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A primar facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application:
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
 - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.